

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
09/606,426 1473	06/28/2000 7590 12/04/2001	RICHARD HILICKI	HEH-2	6100			
50TH FLOOR	E OF THE AMERICAS			EXAMINER HENDERSON, MARK T			
NEW YORK,	NY 10020-1105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			3722				
		DATE MAIL ED: 12/04/2001					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ch

# Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/606,426** 

Applicant(s)

Hilicki et al

Examiner

Mark T. Henderson

Art Unit 3722

		IVIERK I.	nenuerso	)11t	3/22	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sl	neet with	the corres	pondence addres	
A SHO THE N - Exten aft - If the be - If NO co - Failur	FOR REPLY  ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.  Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication.  The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136 (a). In cation. s, a reply within to period will apply	3 no event, he statutor and will ex	_ MONTH however, r ry minimum cpire SIX (6	I(S) FROM  may a reply be time  of thirty (30) day  ome ABANDONED	nely filed ys will the mailing date of this ) (35 U.S.C. § 133).
ea Status	eply received by the Office later than three months after the rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 9, 20					
1) 🔯						•
2a) □ 3) □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\bigcirc$ This action is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex$ part $Ex$ p	except for form	nal matte			merits is
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-6, 8, 10-33, 35, 37, 39-58, 60, 61, 63	, and 65-68		is/are	pending in the	application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/ar	e withdrawn fro	om consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	·			is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-6, 8, 10-33, 35, 37, 39-58, 60, 61, 63	, and 65-68			is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are objected	to.
8) 🗆	Claims	ar	e subject	to restric	tion and/or elec	ction requirement.
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	:: a) □ a	pproved	b) disapprove	ed.
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
13)□ a)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p  All b) Some* c) None of:			§ 119(a)	-(d).	
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ol>			lication N	lo.	
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.</li> </ol>	documents hav eau (PCT Rule	e been re 17.2(a)).	eceived in		tage
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				e).	
Attachm	ent(s)					
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview S	Summary (PT)	0-413) Paper	No(s)	
- •	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of !	nformal Pater	nt Application	(PTO-152)	
17) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:				

Art Unit: 3722

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Faxing of Responses to Office Actions

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, TC 3700 is encouraging FAXING of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)305-3579. This practice may be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into TC 3700 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

- 1. The request filed on November 9, 2001 for a Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on pending Application No. 09/606,426 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. Claims 7, 9, 34, 38, 59 and 64 have been canceled. Claims 1, 44 and 47 have been amended for further examination.

Art Unit: 3722

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8, 10-33, 35, 37, 40-58, 60, 61, 63 and 65-68, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "ISBN 0-439-20822".

The ISBN' discloses in Fig. 1-5, a book comprising a first book cover (A) and a second book cover (B) wherein the second book cover defines coin receivable apertures (C) in the interior side of one of the covers (Fig. 1) for receiving caps (coin) in which the diameter of the aperture is substantially equal to that of the coin, and wherein the book theme relates to a geographic region and is displayed on one of the covers (D in Fig. 2) and in the apertures (Fig. 1), a plurality of sheets fastened together (Fig. 3-5), wherein at least one sheet is blank (Fig. 5), at least one sheet provides preprinted information related to book theme (Fig. 3 and 4). The method of generating a book is also inherently taught by ISBN'.

However, ISBN' does not disclose a book: with a theme relating to a province, or a group of countries, or a fictional/non-fictional story; a plurality of sheets attached to the cover; wherein at least apertures are located on the exterior side of one of the covers; wherein one of the covers

Art Unit: 3722

is made from card stock; and a cap with an image related to an image of a coin, a flag, flower, capitol and bird.

In regards to Claims 1 and 44, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach the sheets to the covers, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1893). Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the apertures at any desirable location on the cover, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70. By placing the apertures on the exterior side of the cover, the cover is only further being used as a display device by enhancing its marketability. The "operation of the device (display book) would not be modified", since shifting of the aperture from the interior to the exterior only enhances the display.

In regards to Claims 3-6, 11-17, 22-32, 35, 40-42, 47-57 and 65-67, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate any desired indicia on the cover, sheet or caps, since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. *In re Gulack* 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983).

Art Unit: 3722

Also, in the present case, there appears to be no new or unobvious structural relationship between the printed matter and the substrate.

In regards to Claims 8-17, 23, 25, 28, 30, 32, 45, 46 and 48-53, it is also notoriously well known in the art to have a cap in the shape of a coin with various indicia.

In regards to Claims 11 and 46, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to construct the cap with a diameter at any desirable size, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

In regards to **Claim 68**, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the covers with any desired material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

4. Claim 39, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ISBN' in view of Phelps (3,217,866).

ISBN' discloses all the elements of a book as claimed in Claim 1 and as set forth above.

However, ISBN' does not disclose a book having at least one sheet defining at least one aperture aligned with one of the covers.

Phelps discloses in Fig. 1, a book having a sheet (A) with apertures (B).

Art Unit: 3722

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the ISBN' book to include a page having an aperture as taught by Phelps for the purpose of displaying a coin with pertinent data imprinted under each aperture as to the cap contained.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the aperture at any desirable location of alignment, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on November 9, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument's that the prior art does not disclose placing the coin receivable apertures in the exterior of at least one of the covers, the examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the apertures at any desirable location on the cover, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70. By placing the apertures on the exterior side of the cover, the cover is only further being used as a display device by enhancing its marketability. The "operation of the device (display book) would

Application/Control Number: 09/606,426

Art Unit: 3722

not be modified", since shifting of the aperture from the interior to the exterior only enhances the

display.

**Contact Information** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Mark T. Henderson whose telephone number is (703)305-0189. The examiner can

be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM to 3:45 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by

telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, A. L. Wellington, can be reached on

(703) 308-2159. The fax number for TC 3700 is (703)305-3579. Any inquiry of a general nature

or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700

receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

**MTH** 

November 30, 2001

A. L. WELLINGTON

IDERVISORY PATENT FXAMIN

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 7